

# **REAL TIME MONITORING SYSTEM**

Sanjeev K. Kanchan Programme Manager- Environment Governance (Industry) Centre for Science and Environment, 41, Tughlakabad Institutional Area, New Delhi – 110062 Tel: 011-40616000, Extn.- 266 Mobile: +91-0-8800855090 Fax: 011-29955879 www.cseindia.org



A good ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE regime paves the path for sustainable growth of a nation. Assures quality environment, equitable growth, health and safety for people while promoting growth.

#### All that it needs:

- Suitable pollution norms
- Standardised pollution monitoring practices
- Credible Reporting (disclosure)
- Transparency
- Strong regulatory framework
- Self regulation- market oriented pollution control

**Emerging economies have incessant problem of:** Weak pollution norms and regulatory system, poor monitoring practices, Non-transparency, lack of self regulatory of market based pollution control or monitoring mechanism (like emission trading).

## **CEMS for Environmental Governance**



### Norms are lenient- while improving, huge push-back is noticed Regulatory system suffers

- ✓ Shortage of skilled manpower and infrastructure.
- A regulator gets less than 1 day for inspection of one industry in a year. (including time for travel, inspection, report preparation.)
- Number of industries are increasing and areas of work are expanding gap between resource need and availability increasing

Most state pollution control boards are unable to monitor industries due to unfilled sanctioned posts



## In India

Source: CSE, based on data provided by state pollution control boards; Note: data is for six states—Maharashtra, Karnataka, Odisha, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu and Gujarat



#### Pollution monitoring and reporting – not credible at all.

- Regulators have no means and time to verify. It is not feasible to go, monitor and verify regularly.
- ✓ Ease of doing business also pushes for quick clearance.

#### Scope of action against defaulters are limited.

 Legal actions may lead to endless man-hours and time which is already scarce.





- Credible pollution monitoring-less manual intervention
- Transparency
- Better regulatory hand- continuous vigil
- Immediate corrective measures
- Process optimization
- Basic framework for market based pollution control
- Paves path for Self- monitoring regime

Helps in better compliance enforcement. Real time monitoring can be instrumental in bringing new era of environmental regulation.

US, Europe etc. have well established CEMS framework. Between 2005 and 2015, US has achieved huge emission reduction from power plants-  $NO_x$  emissions declined by 62% (from 3.4 to 1.3 MnMT), SO<sub>2</sub>emissions declined by 78% (from 9.3 to 2.0 MnMT)

## **Real time monitoring brings**



Two types of continuous or real time monitoring systems:
✓ For air pollution: Continuous emission monitoring system (CEMS), CAAQMS
✓ For water pollution: Continuous effluent quality monitoring system (CEQMS)

It comprises components for sampling, conditioning, and analysis. Hardwares and softwares are integrated to collect data, interpret into digital and readable format and transfer to server installed at regulators.

# What is CEMS?





\_\_\_\_\_



### **Conceptual view of online monitoring**



- ✓ CEMS started with CPCB's direction in Feb 2014.
- ✓ Real time effluent quality monitoring started in March 2014.
- ✓ A pilot scale PM ETS (2011) Gujarat, Maharashtra and Tamil Nadu.
- ✓ After installing, every industry has to register CEMS at CPCB's industry registration portal (http://assetlogiciq.com/) with basic information about their instrumentation and processes etc.
- Industries monitor the mandatory parameters through CEMS and send data to the CPCB and SPCB's servers. Servers installed at SPCB and CPCB send alerts to the industries in case of exceedance or non-compliance.

## **Regulation for CEMS in India**

#### industry and parameter to be monitored using CEIVIS

Effluent Parameters(13)	Emission Parameters(7)
pH, BOD, COD, TSS, Flow	PM, Fluoride
-	PM,NOx,SO <sub>2</sub>
pH, BOD,COD,TSS, Flow	PM
pH, BOD,COD, TSS, Cr, Flow	-
pH, TSS, Flow	Cl <sub>2</sub> ,HCl
pH, flow, Ammonical Nitrogen, F	PM, Fluoride, NH3
pH, Phenol, cyanide, flow	PM,SO <sub>2</sub>
pH, BOD,COD,TSS, flow	PM,CO,NOx,SO <sub>2</sub>
pH, BOD,COD,TSS, flow	PM,CO,NOx,SO <sub>2,</sub>
pH, BOD, COD, TSS, Cr, As , flow	-
pH, BOD, COD, TSS ,Cr ,As, flow	-
pH, TSS, Temperature	PM,NOx,SO <sub>2</sub>
pH, BOD, COD, TSS ,AOx, flow	-
pH, BOD,COD,TSS, flow	-
pH, BOD, COD, TSS, Cr, flow	-
pH, TSS, flow	PM SO <sub>2</sub>
pH, TSS, flow	PM SO <sub>2</sub>
	pH, BOD, COD, TSS, Flow - pH, BOD,COD,TSS, Flow pH, BOD,COD, TSS, Cr, Flow pH, TSS, Flow pH, flow, Ammonical Nitrogen, F pH, Phenol, cyanide, flow pH, BOD,COD,TSS, flow pH, BOD,COD,TSS, flow pH, BOD, COD, TSS, Cr, As, flow pH, BOD, COD, TSS, Cr, As, flow pH, BOD, COD, TSS, AOx, flow pH, BOD,COD,TSS, flow



# **Equipments & Installation**

















# **Gaseous CEMS**









# Success demands? Roadmap Strategy Time-bound action plan





# If missing ?

Around 80% industries have installed. Including GPI, common treatment facilities nearly 4000. Monitoring & reporting is yet to improve



#### Installation is still incomplete.

- ✓ Nearly 20% installation- one or other equipment such as camera, dust monitors etc. were missing.
- ✓ 15% of installation- not working/equipment failure.
- ✓ Another 15% claimed installation- no installation at site.

Many installations are wrong. No clear idea on where to install CEMS- which stack ? Which location ?

**No clarity on suitable technology selection**. Industries comply the direction; prefer cheaper devices irrespective to their suitability

# **CSE survey with KSPCB and MPPCB**





## CSE's survey: installation of CEMS





### CSE's survey: installation of CEMS





## CSE's survey: installation of CEMS



 Lack of adequate knowledge and skills even with the larger industries. Insufficient knowledge base in stakeholders is one of the biggest issues.



- No tool for quality assurance of CEMS. Neither Device certification system exists nor the system for performance check during installation.
- No lab accreditation/ empanelment system. calibration, performance check and verification of CEMS which ideally should be done by empanelled labs don't exist.
- Tamperproof data reporting, transfer and validation system is missing.

## **Challenges: Summary**



No generalized approach for technology selection. It is based on the type of industry, process and flue gas characterization.

The data acquisition and handling software is provided with the device as a package.

Sector-specific directives and clear standards/ regulations is a necessity.

Roles and responsibilities are clearly defined.

- ✓ Quality of the product and certification Manufacturer
- ✓ Installation, O&M Supplier and Industry
- ✓ Compliance industry
- ✓ Compliance check Regional environmental agency.

## **Best practices- Learnings from Germany**



Certification and quality assurance of a CEMS device by a competent agency is mandatory.





Industries install CEMS devices before and after pollution control equipments. Installing before treatment checks for any malfunction and level of treatment required





Environment, health and safety are prime concerns for German industries.





Environment, health and safety are prime concerns for German industries.





**CEMS data is seen in conjugation with plant's key operational data.** German industries consider CEMS data complementary to a plant's operational data as it helps in optimization of the process.





# This is an opportunity. Collective, time-bound and strategic efforts are required to make it successful.

- ✓ A set of guidelines and protocols
- ✓ Quality assurance system
- ✓ Self-sustainable lab empanelment/accreditation system
- ✓ A tamperproof –uniform data transfer and validation process
- ✓ Clear Roles & responsibilities for Stakeholder.
- ✓ Skill and capacity building
- ✓ Inspection and verification of installations

## Success must be assured